

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/552,921	10/11/2005	Hiroshi Nakamoto	28951-5405	· 6926	
27890 7590 01/25/2008 STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP			EXAMINER		
1330 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.			CARTER, WILLIAM JOSEPH		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	2875		
		· .			
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
•			01/25/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

				34			
	Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/552,92	1	NAKAMOTO ET A	AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	William J.		2875				
The MAILING DATE of this comm	unication appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for real yreply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	MAILING DATE OF TH ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even mmunication. Is statutory period will apply and will ply will, by statute, cause the appl as after the mailing date of this cor	IIS COMMUNICATION int, however, may a reply be ting the spire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	,			,			
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on <u>01 November 20</u>	<u>)07</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	-						
3) Since this application is in condition				e merits is			
closed in accordance with the pra-	ctice under <i>Ex parte Qu</i>	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the	e application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is	lare withdrawn from co	nsideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.						
• —	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15 and 16</u> is/are objected to. B)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
o) Claim(s) are subject to resi	riction and/or election is	equilibrium.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 November 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a clai a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of		der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the prior		n received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copie	es of the priority docume	ents have been receive	ed in this Nationa	l Stage			
application from the Interna							
* See the attached detailed Office ac	tion for a list of the certi	fied copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)		.o.□	· (DTO 442)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 	v (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application				

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 12 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 12, line 2, "the transmitted or diffused light" lacks antecedent basis.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 6, 8, 11, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsiung et al. (6,876,313) in view of Levine et al. (5,697,689).

With respect to claim 1, Hsiung teaches an illumination apparatus for an operating section (Fig. 1), comprising an operation part (21) provided on a panel (11) of an electronic equipment (10) and an operation knob (24) attached to the operation part (Fig. 2) so that light from a built-in light emission source (22) illuminated a rear surface of the operation knob (Fig. 2), a concave portion (111) opposite an opening in the operation knob (Fig. 2) of the panel, a light guiding piece (23) located in the concave portion (Fig. 2) to diffusively transmit the light from the light emission source to illuminate an outer periphery of the rear surface of the operation knob (Fig. 2), the light guiding piece comprising a light receiving surface (bottom of 23) that receives the light

Art Unit: 2875

from the light emission source (Fig. 2) and an emission surface (top of 23) that irradiates a front of the light guiding piece with the light from the outer periphery of the rear surface of the operation knob (Fig. 2), wherein the light emission source is provided above a bearing section (receiving hole in 11) of an operation knob (24), so as to pierce a hole in the light guiding piece toward the operation knob (Fig. 2). Hsuing does not explicitly teach a first reflection surface and a second reflection surface that reflect the light outward from the light receiving surface. Levine, also drawn to illumination apparatuses, teaches a first reflection surface and a second reflection surface that reflect the light outward from the light receiving surface (column 12, lines 1-14). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to use the reflection surfaces of Levine in the illumination apparatus of Hsiung, in order to direct the light out of the apparatus (column 12, lines 1-14).

As for claim 2, Hsiung further teaches at least one of a shaft section (211) of the operation part (21) and a bearing section (231) of the operation knob (24) fitted around the shaft section (Fig. 2) is a transparent material (column 2, lines 26-27).

As for claim 6, Hsiung further teaches the light receiving surface (bottom of 23) of the light guiding piece (23) for receiving the light from the light emission surface faces an interior of the operation knob (the underside of part 242 is an interior part of item 24), and the emission surface (top of 23) for emitting the light diffusively passing through the light guiding piece to the front of the light guiding piece is located around an outer peripheral section of the operation knob (Fig. 1).

Art Unit: 2875

As for claim 8, Hsiung further teaches an outer peripheral section of a front surface of the light guiding piece (23) is an emission surface (Fig. 1). Hsiung does not explicitly teach at least part of a rear surface of the light guiding piece is a reflection surface. Levine teaches at least part of a rear surface of a light guiding piece (135) is a reflection surface (column 12, lines 1-14). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to use the reflection surfaces of Levine in the illumination apparatus of Hsiung, in order to direct the light out of the apparatus (column 12, lines 1-14). It would have been an obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to make the emission surface of Hsiung since it is known in the art that a matted surface will transmit light better because it doesn't reflect it

As for claim 11, Hsuing and Levine do not explicitly a through-hole is formed in the operation knob so that the transmitted or diffused light is emitted through the through-hole. Hsuing does teach indented notches (243) formed in the operation knob (24) so that transmitted or diffused light is emitted through the indented notches (Fig. 1). Hsiung also teaches through-holes (113). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to use the through-holes (113) in place of the indented notches (243), in order to emit light through the through-holes (column 2, lines 16-19).

(http://wordnet.princeton.edu/perl/webwn?s=matted).

As for claim 13, Hsuing further teaches the operation knob is an operation button (column 2, lines 14-15).

Art Unit: 2875

Claims 3-5, 7, 9, 10, 12, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsiung and Levine as applied to claims 1, 6, 8, 11, and 13 above, and further in view of Weber et al. (6,092,902).

With respect to claims 3, 7, 9, 12, and 14, Hsiung and Levine teach all of the claimed elements, as discussed above, as well as Hsuing teaches a light emission source (22) provided inside a bearing section (outlined area in item 23) of the operation knob (24; see In re Larson in Response to Arguments section), and a light receiving surface of the light guiding piece (23) faces and interior of the operation knob (Fig. 2., the interior of the operation knob would run from the bottom surface of 24 to the bottom of 242). Hsiung and Levine do not explicitly teach a shaft section of the operation part is a transparent material. Weber teaches a shaft section (D1) of an operation part (2) is a transparent material (column 3, lines 23-35). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to use the transparent material of Weber in the shaft of Hsiung, in order to have the shaft act as a light guide (column 3, lines 23-25).

As for claims 4 and 5, Hsiung and Levine teach all of the claimed elements, as discussed above, except for explicitly teach at least one of an internal wall surface of the operation knob and a panel surface at the rear surface of the light guiding pieces is a reflection surface and a part of the light guiding piece is a reflection surface. Weber, also drawn to illuminated knobs, teaches at least one of an internal wall surface (17) of the operation knob (1) is reflective (column 3, lines 47-53) and a part of a light guiding piece is a reflection surface (Abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary

Art Unit: 2875

skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to use the reflective wall surface and reflective coating of Weber on the rear surface of the light guiding piece of Hsiung, in order to protect the light guiding piece (Abstract).

As for claim 10, Hsuing further teaches a concave (111) formed in a panel (11) surface (Fig. 1) to which the operation part (24) is attached (Fig. 2), and the light guiding piece (23) is place in the concave (Fig. 2).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 15 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1 November 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Contrary to the Applicant's argument, claim 12 has not been amended to overcome the objection.

With respect to claim 1, the operation knob is item 24 and the light guiding piece is item 23. As can be clearly seen in Figs. 1 and 2, item 23 is located in concave 111. Therefor the outer periphery of the rear surface of operation knob 24 is illuminated by light guiding piece 23. Further light exiting the light guiding piece from the top will

Art Unit: 2875

illuminate the outer periphery of the rear surface of the operation knob as well as a front of the light guiding piece (Figs. 1 and 2).

With respect to claim 2, item 231 is a bearing section for knob 24. As can be seen in Fig. 1, an outlined shape of knob 24 is made in item 23. That outlined area is made just for bearing. No light will be seen through this section because of knob 24 covering it. The only reason for this area is bearing. While this section of item 23 is connected to the knob via items 242 and 232, it is apparent that the Applicant does not consider this part of knob 24, but it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to make the outlined area and knob 24 integral, since it has been held that making an old device integral without producing any new and unexpected result involves only routine skill in the art. In re Larson, 340 F.2d 965, 968, 144 USPQ 347, 349 (CCPA 1965).

With respect to claims 3, 7, 8, and 10, all of the reasons of combination are listed above.

As for claim 3, making any part under item 24 of a transparent martial would add more light into the light guide, making the illumination of the periphery of operation knob, 24 even brighter. That along with the motivation above would make the combination obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

The teaching of claim 7 has been more clearly pointed out above.

As for claim 10, item 23 is concave 111 (see Figs. 1 and 2).

Art Unit: 2875

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William J. Carter whose telephone number is (571)272-0959. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra L. O'Shea can be reached on (571)272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 2875

Page 9

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

wjc 1/08/08 /Ali Alavi/

Primary Examiner